# A 6 b 5 GS/s 4 Interleaved 3 b/Cycle SAR ADC

Chi-Hang Chan, *Member, IEEE*, Yan Zhu, *Member, IEEE*, Sai-Weng Sin, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Seng-Pan (Ben) U, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Rui Paulo Martins, *Fellow, IEEE* 

1 E+04

Abstract—This paper presents a  $4 \times$  time-interleaved 6-bit 5 GS/s 3 b/cycle SAR analog-to-digital converter (ADC). Hardware overhead induced by a 3 b/cycle architecture is eased by an interpolation technique where around 1/3 of the hardware is saved. In addition, complicated switching controls are simplified with a proposed fractional DAC array switching scheme, thus reducing the design complexity and the hardware burden. A boundary detection code overriding (BDCO) is introduced to reduce error probability at the large error magnitude, by utilizing the extended time when the comparator is at reset and the  $DAar{C}$ at settling. The floorplan of the front-end is optimized for important interleaving clock distributions, and a master-clock-control bootstrapped-switch technique is adopted to suppress the timingskew effect among the channels. The unit capacitor has been designed to suit for the DAC structure which allows top-plate sharing in both directions, plus, the offset is calibrated on-chip with a clocking variable biasing transistor pair at the latch. Measurement results show that the prototype can achieve 5 GS/s with a total power consumption of 5.5 mW at 1 V supply in 65 nm CMOS technology. Besides, it exhibits a 30.76 dB SNDR and 43.12 dB SFDR at Nyquist, which yields a Walden FoM of 39 fJ/conversion-step.

Index Terms—Analog-to-digital conversion, interleaving, interpolation, multibit/cycle SAR, offset calibration.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**B** OTH WIRELINE and wireless communication systems keep increasing the demand on bandwidth for higher data transfer rate. Applications like in 60 GHz-band receivers and serial links induce challenges for designing easily driven, power efficient and compact analog-to-digital converter (ADC) interfaces. A possible range of ADC specifications is 6–8 bits and up to several GS/s. Due to the benefits granted by technology scaling, both operation frequency and energy efficiency are improved in highly digital ADC architectures, which allow

Manuscript received May 04, 2015; revised October 06, 2015; accepted October 06, 2015. Date of publication November 13, 2015; date of current version January 29, 2016. This paper was approved by Associate Editor Ichiro Fujimori. This work was supported by Research Grants from the University of Macau and Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT) under SKL/AMS-VLSI/11-Y3/SSW/FST.

C.-H. Chan, Y. Zhu, and S.-W. Sin are with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed Signal VLSI, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macao 999078, China (e-mail: ivorchan@ieee.org).

S.-P. U is with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed Signal VLSI, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macao 999078, China, and also with the Synopsys Macau Ltd., Macao 999078, China.

R. P. Martins is with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed Signal VLSI, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macao 999078, China, on leave from Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSSC.2015.2493167

÷ ÷ Or Ο FOM<sub>W,hf</sub> (fJ/conv-step) ÷ 1 E+(Envelope +TI O Flash 1 E+0 SAR Pipe ٥ ■ **♦***L* =< 40 nm 1 E+00 1 E+08 1 E+09 1 E+10 1 E+11  $f_{\rm snyq}$  (Hz)

Fig. 1. ADC performance survey.

very energy-efficient designs as  $\sim 40$  fJ/conversion-step Walden FoM with 2.2 GS/s [1].

Especially for circuits consuming mainly dynamic power, both intrinsic parasitic and supply voltage are shrinking, which implies a drastic improvement on their power consumption. By inspecting the recent state-of-the-art ADC survey [2] as illustrated in Fig. 1, it can be observed that all energy-efficient designs in these ranges of specifications are implemented in advanced technology nodes at or below 40 nm. On the other hand, advanced nodes are costly while an architectural optimization can be more general for different scenarios under various technologies. From the survey, it can be noticed that most of the power efficient designs with these specifications are composed of flash or SAR ADC combining with time interleaving. The SAR ADC is a well-known energy-efficient architecture while the speed of SAR ADCs can be further improved with the comparator interleaving [3], loop-unrolled asynchronous loop [4], or the multibit per cycle [5]. The flash ADC is known as the fastest ADC architecture but power hungry. By adopting interpolation [6] or calibration [7], outstanding energy efficiency can also be accomplished under advanced technology nodes. In a standalone flash or SAR architecture, speed and energy efficiency are still limited due to their inherent characteristics; therefore, they are often combined with time interleaving to achieve a breakthrough. More details of the architectural analysis can be found in Sections II-B and II-C. Time interleaving can be a very effective way to increase the sampling rate of the ADC, but it induces extra design complexity and conversion nonidealities especially with large numbers of interleaving channels. Even though various calibration techniques for timing, gain, and offset error have been introduced [8]-[10], the power required for the digital gain and timing corrections is prohibitive at 6 b resolution.

0018-9200 © 2015 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.



Fig. 2. ADC (a) architecture and (b) timing diagram with time allocation.



Fig. 3. Interpolation methods at outputs of (a) capacitor array, (b) latch, and (c) dynamic preamp.

This work proposed a combination of a 3 b/cycle SAR architecture with time interleaving [11] which targets a 6 bit 6 GS/s specification. Rather than applying complicated timing-skew calibration, the timing error is suppressed by a proper

layout floorplan and circuit techniques with optimizing the number of channels. The hardware overhead and circuit complexity are eased with the presented techniques, which include dynamic preamplifier interpolation, DAC structure and



Fig. 4. Circuit detail of conventional 3 b/cycle segmentation VCM-based switching in a 6 b split DAC structure.



Fig. 5. Comparison between the proposed and the conventional switching operation.

switching simplification, boundary detection code overriding (BDCO), and master-clock-control bootstrapped-switch. As observed during the measurements, the prototype can achieve 5 GS/s at 1 V supply and up to 6 GS/s at 1.2 V supply with SNDR above 30 dB at Nyquist. The power consumption is as low as 5.5 mW with a Walden FoM of 39 fJ/conversion-step. The organization of this paper is as follows. The ADC structure and a generalized architectural analysis are introduced in Section II. Section III discusses various hardware and complexity reduction circuit techniques. Section IV describes the BDCO scheme. Circuit structures, such as offset calibration and DAC implementation, are detailed in Section V. Measured results are reported in Section VI and finally the conclusion is drawn in Section VII.

## II. 3 B/CYCLE SAR ADC ARCHITECTURE

Fig. 2(a) illustrates the block diagram of the conventional 3 b/cycle SAR ADC architecture. It consists of 7 DAC arrays, dynamic preamplifiers and latches, a 3 b/cycle SA logic, a

sampling front-end with bootstrapped-switches and an encoder. Fig. 2(b) shows its timing diagram. During the sampling phase  $\Phi_s$ , the differential input signals ( $V_{in,P}$  and  $V_{in,N}$ ) are sampled on the DAC capacitors arrays. Then, 7 DACs precharge to their corresponding first cycle's reference voltages  $(1/8-7/8V_{ref})$ , where the sampled signal is subtracted by corresponding references in the DACs and the results are passed to the comparators. The comparators give their decisions at  $\Phi_{ST} = 1$  to control DACs to switch a successive-approximated residue via the SAR logic. Next, the precharging operation repeats but for the second cycle's reference voltages  $(1/64-7/64V_{ref})$  which is followed by the second comparisons. Each comparison resolves 3 bits resolution and all comparators' outputs are consequently decoded through the decoder to obtain a binary output. It is worth noting that the hardware complexity is growing exponentially with the number of resolving bits per cycle in a multibit SAR ADC, similar to the flash. Furthermore, the routing parasitic, due to the large amount of hardware in the 3 b/cycle, also degrade the energy efficiency. Even though a 3 b/cycle structure may not seem to be power efficient due to



Fig. 6. Signals behavior and timing diagram of the proposed and the conventional switching operation.

the hardware overhead, it relaxes the scaling of comparators and logics toward higher speed which can lead to actually better energy efficiency than the 1 b/cycle SAR ADC. On the other hand, hardware reduction techniques are necessary in order to maintain the benefits of the 3 b/cycle SAR architecture. The interleaving technique is also adopted in this design to further increase the sampling rate of the ADC.

#### III. HARDWARE AND OPERATION OPTIMIZATION

As there are large hardware burdens and complicated control circuitries in the 3 b/cycle SAR architecture, simplification in both operation and implementation is necessary for a good energy efficiency.

#### A. Interpolation at the Dynamic Amplifier's Output

Interpolation can effectively reduce the amount of hardware in the multibit SAR ADC, which can be achieved at the DACs' outputs [12] or the latches' outputs [13]. Nevertheless, the DAC interpolation leads to double kickback noise [Fig. 3(a)] from the comparators to each DAC and eventually limits the total capacitance in the DAC arrays. Alternatively, latch interpolation does not give rise to more kickback, but the interpolated latches become slow when the input is close to their interpolated thresholds as shown in Fig. 3(b). From [14], it can be found that the regeneration time of the latch depends on the input difference in a natural log scale. Since the interpolating latch only starts after the preceding latches have finished, the delay is inevitably increased. Here, the interpolation is obtained at the outputs of the dynamic preamplifiers, which does not induce more kickback and also has less speed penalty than the latch interpolation method. Fig. 3(c) shows the interpolation setup

 TABLE I

 Comparison Between Conventional and Proposed Switching

|                               | Conv. | Pro. |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|
| Number of<br>control bits     | 13    | 8    |
| Number of control<br>switches | 33    | 16   |
| Number of voltage Lv.         | 3     | 2    |
| Number of unit cap            | 16    | 8    |
| Number of phases              | 5     | 4    |

and the circuit schematic of the adopted dynamic preamplifier topology. It consists of a reset pair of PMOS, a input pair of NMOS ( $M_{1,2}$ ), and a clocking tail transistor ( $M_{\text{tail}}$ ). Its delay when  $\Phi_{\text{ST}} = 1$  can be expressed as (based on the square law model with a velocity saturation assumption)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Delay}_{\text{pre}} &\propto R_{\text{eq},M1}C_L, \\ \text{where } R_{\text{eq},M1} &\propto \frac{1}{(V_{\text{in}} - V_{s1} - V_{\text{Tn}} - V_{D,\text{SATn}}/2)} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $R_{eq,M1}$  is the equivalent resistance of  $M_1$  when  $M_{tail}$  is enabled, and  $V_{s1}$  and  $V_{D,SATn}$  are the source voltage and the saturation voltage of  $M_1$ , respectively.  $V_{Tn}$  is the threshold voltage of the NMOS transistor. It can be realized from (1) that the delay of the dynamic preamplifier is (approximately) inversely proportional to the input; therefore, the input difference does not have significant impact on the interpolation speed.

Due to the interpolation, the DACs and the dynamic preamplifiers are reduced from 7 to 4 with only two extra dummy latches (for balancing the load at the preamplifiers' outputs). It is worth noting that the logics and the controls of the DACs



Fig. 7. Signals' behaviors of the logic circuit when the comparator's input difference is small and circuit details.



Fig. 8. Ideal and error (dot line) residue transfer curves of 6 bit 3 b/cycle SAR with nondifferential controls of the DACs in the red regions.

(for different precharging values) are also saved as well as achieving input capacitance reduction. Eventually, the power consumption, circuit complexity, and core area are significantly reduced and enable an energy-efficient 3 b/cycle SAR architecture. It is also worth noting that the interpolating technique causes a drifted common mode at the interpolated latches. In the proposed scheme, it leads to a faster slope at the input of the interpolated latches which increases the speed of the latch and induces more noise. However, as the noise from the latch can be suppressed by the dynamic preamplifier, it does not induce a large noise overhead for a 6 bit design. On the other hand, since this drifted slope is not sensed during calibration, a residual offset voltage is remained from the latch. In the simulation, it can be found the input-referred  $3\sigma$  residual offset is as small as  $\pm 1$  mV due to the gain of the dynamic amplifier.

# B. Reduced Reference Segmentation VCM-Based Switching and DAC Arrays

Fig. 4 shows the circuit details of the conventional VCMbased [15] segmentation switching for multibit SAR ADC [16] in a split DAC structure. All the capacitors are arranged in a single unit; therefore, the outputs of the comparators can directly control the DACs (B1–B7) without extra decoding logic and delay. On the other hand, it requires different control switches and logics for the precharging, switching, and sampling operation. It also needs three voltage levels ( $V_{ref,P}$ ,  $V_{ref,N}$ , and  $V_{CM}$ ) among different operation phases. Those switches, voltage levels, and routing of the control signals create a complex matrix which causes undesired coupling and lowers the SA loop speed with more power consumption, especially for the 3 b/cycle SAR architecture. Two simplifications are made in the DAC



Fig. 9. BDCO scheme with circuit details, logic flow, and mapping table.

and switching logic in order to save hardware and operation phases. First, rather than using a full binary DAC or a split DAC structure, this design adopts fractional capacitors in the LSB array. Even though the fractional capacitors experience certain variations due to their small value, they only degrade by a small amount of the SNDR due to the small contribution from the last LSBs. Based on this design, the matching ( $\sigma_C/C$ ) has to vary by about 6% in order to cause around a 3 dB drop in the SNDR for 6 bit, which is quite large when considering that the matching of the MOM capacitor is usual around 1% in the adopted process. Second, rather than reset the bottom-plates at ( $V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N}$ )/2 during sampling, they preset to  $V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N}$  accordingly. The comparison between the conventional and proposed switching operation is explained next in detail.

Fig. 5 shows an example of the DAC switching with a  $7/8 \times V_{\rm ref}$  reference voltage. For the first six capacitors (with comparators' controls B1-B6) during sampling phase (Step 1), all the bottom-plates of the DAC are reset to  $(V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})/2$ conventionally; while three of them are preset to  $V_{\text{ref},P}$ and others to  $V_{ref,N}$  in the proposed switching. Since the top-plate sampling technique is adopted in this design, the preset values do not affect the sampling operation, but such preset sequence allows maintaining the same functionality as the conventional switching which can be shown later. In the first precharging phase (Step 2, where the input shift with the corresponding reference voltage), only the capacitor preset to  $V_{ref,N}$  is switched to  $V_{ref,P}$  while the others remain unchanged in the proposed scheme; therefore, it matches to the operation of the conventional structure with six capacitors switching from  $(V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})/2$  to  $V_{ref,P}$  and removes the need of  $(V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})/2$  voltage. Next (Step 3), the comparators give their decisions and control the DAC to

generate a residual voltage. Since the output controls of the comparators are in thermometer, the preset sequence (in Step 1) also guarantees the same result between the conventional and the proposed method. For instance, if the outputs of B1–B6 are 110000, the conventional switching gives a residual voltage of:  $V_{in} + (2 \times 1/2 \times (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})) - 4 \times 1/2 \times (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})) = V_{in} - (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})$  regarding the switching operation from Steps 1) to 3); and the residual voltage of the proposed scheme is:  $V_{in} + (2 \times (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})) - 3 \times (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})) = V_{in} - (V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})$ . Thus, both methods converge to an identical residue. Afterward, the controls of the bottom-plates hold until the next sample.

For the remaining capacitors (with comparator's control B7 and/or the split LSB capacitors), similarly a certain sequence is preset during sampling in the proposed switching and the conventional one just reset to  $(V_{ref,P} - V_{ref,N})/2$  (Step 1). During first comparison and second precharging operation in the proposed scheme (Step 3), the 7/8 fractional capacitor switches from the preset value  $(V_{ref,N})$  to  $V_{ref,P}$ , and the 1/8 fractional capacitor remains unchanged if B7 = 1. While if B7 = 0, then the 1/8 capacitor switches from  $V_{\text{ref},P}$  to  $V_{\text{ref},N}$ , and the 7/8 capacitor keeps constant. It is worth emphasizing that the preset sequence with the fractional value of the capacitors not only guarantees an identical functionality as the conventional operation but also saves an extra phase. In this example with a  $7/8 \times V_{\text{ref}}$  reference voltage, the DAC moves by +7/64 for B7 = 1 with precharging, and moves by -1/64 for B7 = 0with precharging in the conventional scheme (Steps 3-4). Therefore, the fractional values of 1/8 and 7/8, and the preset sequence are arranged accordingly in the proposed switching to match the conventional operation.

The signals' behavior and the time diagram of the conventional and proposed switching are given in Fig. 6. In this



Fig. 10. Offset calibration technique with (a) circuit schematic of adopted latch and (b) calibration process and signals' behaviors.

example, the top-plate voltage of the DAC with a  $7/8 \times V_{\text{ref}}$  reference voltage is illustrated with a certain input ( $V_{\text{in}}$ ). After the sampling and first precharge operation, both methods have an identical timing and the same top-plate voltage. Next, the comparators give their decision which set B1–B7 to "0" in this example. The proposed switching scheme directly converts to the final output which combines the residual switching and precharging operation, while the conventional one requires two steps to accomplish the same result.

It is worth noting that the benefits of the VCM-based switching are better linearity, constant common-mode voltage for the comparator and less switching energy when comparing with the set-and-down and conventional scheme. However, as targeting to only 6 bit resolution in this design with a small value of the DAC, the power consumption of the DACs switching consumes only less than 2.5% of the total which becomes a minor concern. While a simple and fast operation as well as a constant common-mode voltage is the main goal, a simplified VCM-based switching method for multibit is proposed. The number of control bits, control switches, voltage levels, unit capacitors and operation phases in the proposed implementation are compared with the conventional structure, as listed in Table I. It can be observed that the number of control bits and control switches are significantly reduced by the proposed method (more than half). The  $V_{\rm CM}$  voltage level is removed in order that all the control switches experience large overdrive voltages for high speed. The number of unit capacitors is cut by half and one phase of operation is saved.

### IV. BOUNDARY DETECTION CODE OVERRIDING

For low-power and high-speed purposes, a dynamic logic family is often adopted in the high-speed SAR logic implementation [17], [18]. Besides, the precharging, selection, switching and latch function can be combined together with one dynamic logic gate in this design. Nevertheless, dynamic logic is not robust to a condition when the input difference of the comparator is small and its outputs are interpreting at the metastable state.

### A. Error Characteristic

The adopted logic circuit and its signal behaviors with small input difference at the comparator are depicted in Fig. 7. The dynamic logic consists of three transistors; one for pull up and two for pull down. The precharging controls go through PMOS  $(M_1)$ ; the decision from the comparator employ to  $M_2$ , and  $M_3$ is for selection purpose and to identify the working cycle. A latch [by extra feedback loop (FB)] is enabled after the decision of the comparator is propagated.

This circuit block provides both logistic and latch functions to control the differential DAC performing the SAR switching. Assuming that the comparator input is small, its outputs do not have enough time to regenerate a valid difference which produces nondifferential controls to the differential DAC  $(D_p, D_n)$ . Consequently, the residue of the DAC becomes zero in this condition and the error amplitude can be illustrated in Fig. 8. The dot line represents the ideal residual transfer curve and the blue line contains error. The red regions represent the case when the input of the comparator is small and nondifferential controls to the DAC are propagated. Since there are seven comparisons in the first cycle, seven cases are indicated. With a zoom-in view of case 4, it can be seen that the error magnitude caused by nondifferential controls can be as large as  $V_{\rm ref}/8$  which is 8 LSB in this design. The error region  $\varepsilon$  can be estimated with the following equation [14]:

$$|\varepsilon| \le 2 imes rac{V_{\text{valid}}}{A_{\text{tot}} \exp\left(T_{\text{comp}}/\tau_{\text{reg}}
ight)}$$
 (2)

where  $V_{\text{valid}}$  is the valid-logic-level,  $A_{\text{tot}}$  is the total gain from the latch (before regeneration) and logic propagation,  $T_{\text{comp}}$  is the available time for the regeneration, and  $\tau_{\text{reg}}$  is the regeneration time constant. It can be highlighted that by either increasing  $T_{\text{comp}}$  or by lowering  $\tau_{\text{reg}}$ , the  $\varepsilon$  can be effectively reduced. In the high-speed SAR ADC design,  $T_{\text{comp}}$  is often short while lowering  $\tau_{\text{reg}}$  leads to degradation of the energy efficiency as explained in Section II. Other solution for suppressing



Fig. 11. DAC layout structure  $(7/8V_{ref} \text{ example})$ .



Fig. 12. Floorplan of the interleaved sampling front-end with details of the bootstrapped circuit.

 $\varepsilon$  is by adding extra latches at the comparator's outputs, which introduces an exponential gain [14] to reduce  $\varepsilon$ . Nevertheless, large power overhead and extra SA loop delay are added, especially in a 3 b/cycle SAR architecture requiring seven extra latches. Instead, a correction scheme is proposed at the output of the ADC in this design.

## B. Correction Scheme

Fig. 9 shows the block diagram, circuit details, and logic flow of the proposed BDCO scheme. The BDCO circuits detect whether the controls for the differential DAC are different or not with simply XOR gates. If either cases (CASE 1–7) are

detected, the output of the ADC will be directly replaced by the code in the mapping table in Fig. 10 accordingly. During this condition, the residue and the second cycle comparison results are not important anymore and not being used for the rest of the quantization. If CASE 1-7 are not activated, the ADC will process the normal operation with regular decoding. It is worth noting that the BDCO does not eliminate all the occurrence of 8 LSB errors, since the decision point is now shifted to the detection of the CASE 1-7 in the BDCO. If it is in metastability, the 8 LSB's error will still appear. However, as the case signals have more time (when the comparator at reset and DAC at settling) as well as extra logic gain (e.g., XOR gate and keeper/latch in FB of Fig. 7), the error probability  $(P_E)$  with 8 LSB's error magnitude is considerably suppressed. During the measurement, it can be found that the  $P_E$  has been improved at least by four orders of magnitude  $(10^{-2} \text{ to } 10^{-6})$  when comparing with the simulation (no noise at postlayout) without the proposed BDCO scheme.

## V. CIRCUIT, LAYOUT, AND INTERLEAVING FRONT-END

A prototype ADC was fabricated in 65 nm CMOS to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed architecture. This section describes the on-chip offset calibration for the dynamic preamplifiers and latches, the DAC layout structure, and the interleaving sampling front-end.

#### A. Dynamic Biasing Offset Calibration

As interpolation is applied at the dynamic preamps' outputs, offset compensation, such as extra differential pair [19], at the preamplifier level result in a residual offset at the interpolated latches. Even though the interpolation can relax the offset requirement at the latches [6], their offsets still need to be handled in this design due to small sizing for low-power purposes. Conventionally, offsets in the latch can be calibrated with variable capacitance at its outputs with digital or analog control [20], [21]. However, this not only slows down the comparators but also increases their power consumption because of the significant amount of extra loads. Alternatively in this design, a pair of clocked variable-biasing transistors is introduced at the internal nodes of the latch for offset compensation. According to the simulation, the proposed scheme lead to 15% speed improvement comparing with the variable capacitance technique for the same offset calibration range  $(\pm 20 \text{ mV})$  in this design. As shown in Fig. 10(a), the added transistors  $(M_1 - M_4)$ are clocked before the latch being enabled by the dynamic preamplifier. The variable-biasing transistors  $(M_3-M_4)$  control the current flow at the internal nodes in order to suppress the offset. The calibration step can be described by Fig. 10(b) as follows: first, the inputs of the preamplifier are shorted together, the calibration logic then senses the offset polarity through the calibrating latch and feeds back an investigating voltage  $(V_{cal,P}/V_{cal,N})$  to compensate the offset. Second, the output of the latch flips after the offset is calibrated and  $V_{\text{cal},P}/V_{\text{cal},N}$  holds its current value similar as [21]. These steps repeat seven times to calibrate all the offsets from each dynamic preamplifiers and latches at foreground. Since the calibration is



Fig. 13. Chip microphotograph.

performed in foreground, the offset voltage can drift due to the supply or temperature variation (after calibrated). With a +10% or -10% supply voltage variation after calibration, the simulated  $3\sigma$  postcalibration offset voltage increases from  $\pm 1$  mV to -15 mV to 10 mV and to -5 mV to 7 mV range, respectively. After calibration at 27 °C, if the temperature changes to -40 °C or +125 °C, the offset voltage drifts to -2.8 to 4 mV at -40 °C; and to -5.23 to 6.1 mV at +125 °C. Both large supply voltage or temperature variation lead to a residual offset voltage exceeding 1/2 LSB of the ADC; therefore, recalibration is required in order to keep the performance.

## B. Unit Capacitance and DAC Structure

For the low-to-moderate resolution SAR ADC design, the matching of the DAC and the KT/C noise are usually not the constraints. Thus, a small unit capacitance can be chosen to enhance the SA FB as well as lowering the power from switching and buffer circuits. In this design, the unit capacitance  $(C_u)$  is only 400 aF which is mainly limited by the input variable capacitance at the dynamic preamplifier's inputs. As the top-plate sampling is adopted in this design, this variable gate capacitance from a 1 V<sub>pp</sub> input swing and 0.27/0.06  $\mu$ m (width/length) transistor is close to 0.3 fF which limits the total capacitance of each DAC array (single side) to at least 6.4 fF for less than 1/4 LSB error.

The unit capacitor structure is designed to be able to be shared in both vertical and horizontal directions so that a very compact DAC layout can be accomplished as shown in Fig. 11. The fractional capacitor (1/8 and  $7/8C_u$ ) is implemented with a similar coupling structure as the unit capacitor but with less desired coupling capacitance. Different from the fractional capacitors, the layout matching of the first 6 MSB unit capacitors is important. Therefore, a common-centroid approach is adopted with dummies surrounding to insure their accuracy.



Fig. 14. Measured results of SNDR and SFDR (a) from three different samples at near Nyquist input and (b) sweeping across input frequency at  $f_S = 5$  GS/s with sample number 2.



Fig. 15. Measured output spectrum of the ADC at near Nyquist input at (a)  $f_S = 5$  GS/s and (b)  $f_S = 6$  GS/s.

#### C. Interleaving Front-End

In order to avoid complicated timing calibration for a large amount of interleaving channels, only an interleaving factor of 4 is chosen. With only four channels, the layout can be optimized mainly for the important sampling clock, as illustrated in Fig. 12. The main clock generator is arranged at the center of each channel and the master sampling clock is equally distributed. Rather than splitting the sampling instants in different channels with a NAND gate or multiplexer [1], [14], a master clock control ( $\Phi_{main}$ ) at full sampling rate is used for all the pull-down switches in the bootstrap circuit among the channels as [18] and [22]. As the floorplan of the interleaving front-end is in a cross style, some sampling switches among the channels have different gate orientations which causes large mismatch at the interleaved sampling, due to the nonisotropic property of silicon transistors. Therefore, all the sampling switches are arranged to be in the same (vertical) gate direction and simultaneously maintaining the same routing distance (A, B, and C in Fig. 12) at input and output.

### VI. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The 6 bit ADC prototype was fabricated in a 65 nm 1P7M digital CMOS process. Fig. 13 shows the micrograph of the chip whose active area is  $0.09 \text{ mm}^2$  including the ADC core and the on-chip calibration (while only  $0.008 \text{ mm}^2$  without



Fig. 16. Measured BER at sampling rate of 5 GHz.

|                                       | ISSCC'10 [1]     | VLSI'13 [5] | VLSI'12 [6] | ISSCC'14 [25]    | A-SSCC'14 [26] | This work       |       |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Architecture                          | Ti-pipelined B-S | Flash       | Flash       | Ti-SAR           | Ti-SAR         | Ti-multibit SAR |       |
| Process                               | 40 nm            | 32 nm SOI   | 40 nm       | 28 nm UTBB FDSOI | 32 nm SOI      | 65 nm           |       |
| Supply voltage (V)                    | 1.1              | 0.85        | 1.1         | 1.0              | 1.1            | 1.0             | 1.2   |
| Sampling rate (GS/s)                  | 2.2              | 5           | 3           | 10               | 36             | 5               | 6     |
| Resolution (bit)                      | 6                | 6           | 6           | 6                | 6              | 6               |       |
| Power (mW)                            | 2.6              | 8.5         | 11          | 32               | 110            | 5.5             | 10.6  |
| SNDR <sub>min</sub> in Nyq. band (dB) | 29.6             | 30.9        | 33.1        | 33.8             | 31.6           | 30.25           | 30.13 |
| Input cap.(fF)                        | 200              | 135         | 72          | 100              | N/A            | 31              |       |
| FoM at Nyq. (fJ/conv.=step)           | 40.0             | 59.33       | 100.48      | 81               | 98             | 39              | 67.37 |
| Active area (mm <sup>2</sup> )        | 0.03*            | 0.02*       | 0.021*      | 0.009*           | 0.048**        | 0.008*(0.09**)  |       |
| Calibration                           | Off-chip         | Off-chip    | Off-chip    | Off-chip         | On-chip        | On-chip         |       |

TABLE II Benchmark With State-of-the-Art ADCS

\*Without calibration.

\*\*With calibration.

calibration). The ADC has a full-scale input range of  $1 V_{pp}$ differential and an input capacitance of only 31 fF including parasitic but without PAD and ESD devices. Three samples were measured and their SNDR and SFDR at a conversion rate of 5 GHz with Nyquist input are illustrated in Fig. 14(a). The SNDR and SFDR are maintained among the samples and the sample number 2 (with average performance) has been selected to report the following results. Fig. 14(b) depicts the SNDR and SFDR across low frequency and up to a larger frequency than the Nyquist input at 5 GS/s. The SNDR remains quite flat until around the 2.8 GHz input. Fig. 15(a) shows the ADC output spectrum at Nyquist input rate with 5 GS/s after calibration. The measured SNR and SNDR are 32.37 and 30.76 dB, respectively. The SFDR is 43.12 dB which is mainly limited by the third harmonic induced by the nonlinearity of the sampling frontend. The maximum INL/DNL is 0.95/1.4 LSB, respectively. After raising the supply to 1.2 V, a sampling rate of 6 GHz is achieved. The output spectrum of 6 GS/s with near Nyquist input is depicted in Fig. 15(b). The SFDR is 42.07 dB and is limited by the image spurs due to the interleaving, while the SNR and SNDR drop to 30.75 and 30.13 dB, respectively.

The measurement result of the error probability is also provided as in Fig. 16, which is obtained based on the setup suggested in [23] and [24]. The bit error rate (BER) at around 8 LSBs ( $V_{\rm ref}/8$ ) is less than  $10^{-6}$  and rolls off to below  $10^{-8}$  with larger error magnitude. Since the measurement setup does not contain memory and is not able to perform averaging, the result is expected to be affected by noise and DNL [24]. The measured SNR at 5 GS/s is 32.37 dB, indicating that the noise sigma of the ADC is 1.6 LSBs ( $V_{ref}/40$ ) thus 5 sigma is 8 LSBs ( $V_{ref}/8$ ). As the probability of 5 sigma is 3E–7, this implies that the measured error rate of 8 LSBs error magnitude is wrongly triggered by noise (but not metastable events) with at least a probability of 3E-7. Since this (3E-7) is close to the measured error probability at 8 LSBs ( $V_{\rm ref}/8$ ) ( $\sim$  7E – 7), it can be concluded that the obtained error probability at around 8 LSBs error magnitude is mainly limited by noise due to the measurement setup, and the actual probability should be lower. It is also worth noting that only noise is considered in the above discussion. Indeed, the DNL can also induce a significant influence [24]. If the BER of the ADC is indeed limited to  $10^{-6}$ , it requires forward error correction (FEC) to be applicable for the optical communication system.

The total power consumption is 5.5 mW at a single 1 V supply with on-chip calibration. The analog power, including the dynamic preamplifiers, latches, and the sampling bootstrapped circuits, is 2.3 mW and the logic and clock generator with buffer consume around 2.09 and 1.1 mW, respectively. It is worth noting that the switching power of the DAC is very small (less than 2%), thus, there is not much discussion on the switching energy throughout this work. The calculated Walden FoM at Nyquist input is 39 fJ and 67 fJ/conversion-step at 5 and 6 GS/s, respectively. Table II summarizes and compares this work with state-of-the-art ADCs. Although this ADC is implemented in a less advanced technology when compared with other circuits in the table, it achieves the best energy efficiency, smallest area, and input capacitance. Besides, this design has the lowest Walden FoM among the ADC designs with sampling rate higher than 2 GS/s.

## VII. CONCLUSION

A 6 bit 5 GS/s  $4\times$ -interleaved 3 b/cycle SAR ADC has been presented. The large area and power overhead from the 3 b/cycle architecture are reduced by various techniques, including the dynamic preamplifier interpolation, DAC structure and switching simplification, BDCO and master-clockcontrol bootstrapped-switch. While through optimizing the floorplan and DAC layout structure, a compact area is also achieved by this prototype. Measurement results are reported which indicate the effectiveness of the BDCO scheme. This design exhibits a good energy efficiency at a high sampling rate in 65 nm. It draws only 5.5 mW power from a 1 V supply at a conversion rate of 5 GHz with a Walden FoM of 39 fJ/conversion-step.

#### REFERENCES

- B. Verbruggen, J. Craninckx, M. Kuijk, P. Wambacq, and G. Van der Plas, "A 2.6 mW 6 b 2.2 GS/s 4-times interleaved fully dynamic pipelined ADC in 40 nm digital CMOS," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf.* (*ISSCC*) Dig. Tech. Papers, Feb. 2010, pp. 296–297.
- B. Murmann. ADC Performance Survey 1997–2015, 2015 [Online]. Available: http://web.stanford.edu/~murmann/adcsurvey.html.
- [3] L. Kull et al., "A 3.1 mW 8 b 1.2 GS/s single-channel asynchronous SAR ADC with alternate comparators for enhanced speed in 32 nm digital SOI CMOS," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC) Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2013, pp. 468–469.
- [4] T. Jiang, W. Liu, F. Y. Zhong, C. Zhong, and P. Y. Chiang, "Singlechannel, 1.25-GS/s, 6-bit, loop-unrolled asynchronous SAR-ADC in 40 nm-CMOS," in *Proc. IEEE Custom Integr. Circuits Conf. (CICC)*, Sep. 2010, pp. 1–4.
- [5] Z. Cao, S. Yan, and Y. Li, "A 32 mW 1.25 GS/s 6 b 2 b/step SAR ADC in 0.13 μm CMOS," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC) Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2008, pp. 542–634.
- [6] Y.-S. Shu, "A 6 b 3 GS/s 11 mW fully dynamic flash ADC in 40 nm CMOS with reduced number of comparators," in *Proc. Symp. VLSI Circuits (VLSIC)*, Jun. 2012, pp. 26–27.
- [7] V. H.-C. Chen and L. Pileggi, "An 8.5 mW 5 GS/s 6 b flash ADC with dynamic offset calibration in 32 nm CMOS SOI," in *Proc. Symp. VLSI Circuits (VLSIC)*, Jun. 2013, pp. 264–265.
- [8] S. Lee, A. P. Chandrakasan, and H-S. Lee, "A 1 GS/s 10 b 18.9 mW timeinterleaved SAR ADC with background timing skew calibration," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 2846–2856, Dec. 2014.
- [9] D. Stepanovic and B. Nikolic, "A 2.8 GS/s 44.6 mW time-interleaved ADC achieving 50.9 dB SNDR and 3 dB effective resolution bandwidth of 1.5 GHz in 65 nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 971–982, Apr. 2013.
- [10] B. Razavi, "Design considerations for interleaved ADCs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 1806–1817, Aug. 2013.

- [11] C.-H. Chan, Y. Zhu, S.-W. Sin, S.-P. U, and R. P. Martins, "A 5.5 mW 6 b 5 GS/S 4×-interleaved 3 b/cycle SAR ADC in 65 nm CMOS," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ISSCC) Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2015, pp. 22–26.
- [12] H. Wei *et al.*, "An 8-b 400-MS/s 2-b-per-cycle SAR ADC with resistive DAC," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 2763–2772, Nov. 2012.
- [13] J.-I. Kim, B.-R.-S. Sung, W. Kim, and S.-T. Ryu, "A 6-b 4.1-GS/s flash ADC with time-domain latch interpolation in 90-nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1429–1441, Jun. 2013.
- [14] L. Portmann and H.-Y. Meng Teresa, "Power-efficient metastability error reduction in CMOS flash A/D converters," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 1132–1140, Aug. 1996.
- [15] Y. Zhu et al., "A 10-bit 100-MS/s reference-free SAR ADC in 90 nm CMOS," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1111–1121, Jun. 2010.
- [16] C.-H. Chan, Y. Zhu, S.-W. Sin, S.-P. U, and R. P. Martins, "A 3.8 mW 8 b 1 GS/s 2 b/cycle interleaving SAR ADC with compact DAC structure," in *Proc. Symp. VLSI Circuits (VLSIC)*, Jun. 2012, pp. 86–87.
- [17] H.-K. Hong, W. Kim, S.-J. Park, M. Choi, H.-J. Park, and S.-T. Ryu, "A 7 b 1 GS/s 7.2 mW nonbinary 2 b/cycle SAR ADC with register-to-DAC direct control," in *Proc. IEEE Custom Integr. Circuits Conf. (CICC)*, Sep. 2012, pp. 1–4.
- [18] Y. Zhu, C.-H. Chan, S.-P. U, and R. P. Martins, "An 11 b 900 MS/s timeinterleaved sub-ranging pipelined-SAR ADC," in *Proc. Eur. Solid-State Circuits Conf. (ESSCIRC)*, Sep. 2014, pp. 211–214.
- [19] B. Verbruggen, J. Craninckx, M. Kuijk, P. Wambacq, and G. Van der Plas, "A 2.2 mW 1.75 GS/s 5 bit folding flash ADC in 90 nm digital CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 874–882, Mar. 2009.
- [20] G. Van der Plas and B. Verbruggen, "A 150 MS/s 133 μW 7 bit ADC in 90 nm digital CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 2631–2640, Dec. 2008.
- [21] C.-H. Chan, Y. Zhu, S.-W. Sin, S.-P. U, R. P. Martins, and F. Maloberti, "A 5-bit 1.25-GS/s 4×-capacitive-folding flash ADC in 65-nm CMOS," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2154–2169, Sep. 2013.
- [22] S. Hashemi and B. Razavi, "A 7.1 mW 1 GS/s ADC with 48 dB SNDR at Nyquist rate," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1739–1750, Aug. 2014.
- [23] W. Kester, Find Those Elusive ADC Sparkle Codes and Metastable States, Analog Devices Tutorial MT-011, pp. 1–10, 2009.
- [24] S. Hashemi and B. Razavi, "Analysis of metastability in pipelined ADCs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1198–1209, May 2014.
- [25] S. Le Tual, P. N. Singh, C. Curis, and P. Dautriche, "22.3 A 20 GHz-BW 6 b 10 GS/s 32 mW time-interleaved SAR ADC with master T&H in 28 nm UTBB FDSOI technology," in *IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf.* (*ISSCC*) Dig. Tech. Papers, Feb. 2014, pp. 382–383.
- [26] L. Kull et al., "A 110 mW 6 bit 36 GS/s interleaved SAR ADC for 100 GBE occupying 0.048 mm<sup>2</sup> in 32 nm SOI CMOS," in Proc. IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conf. (A-SSCC), Nov. 2014, pp. 89–92.



**Chi-Hang Chan** (S'12–M'15) was born in Macau, China, in 1985. He received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from University of Washington (U.W.), Seattle, WA, USA, in 2008, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Macau, Macao, China, in 2012 and 2015, respectively.

He is currently working as a Postdoctoral Fellow with the University of Macau. He was an intern with Chipidea Microelectronics (Now Synopsys), Macau, during his undergraduate studies. His research inter-

ests include Nyquist ADC and mixed signal circuits. Currently, his research mainly focuses on the comparator offset calibration, flash and multibit SAR ADC.

Dr. Chan was the recipient of the Chipidea Microelectronics Prize and Macau Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT) Postgraduates Award (Master Level) in 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the Macau FDCT Award for Technological Invention (2nd class) as well as Macao Scientific and Technological R&D for Postgraduates Award (Ph.D. level) in 2014 for outstanding Academic and Research achievements in Microelectronics. He was also the recipient of the 2015 Solid-State-Circuit-Society (SSCS) Predoctoral Achievement Award. He was the corecipient of the 2011 ISSCC Silk Road Award and Student Design Contest Award in A-SSCC 2011.



Yan Zhu (S'10–M'15) received the B.Sc. degree in electrical engineering and automation from Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, in 2006, and the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and electronics engineering from the University of Macau, Macao, China, in 2009 and 2011, respectively.

She is currently an Assistant Professor with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau. She has authored more than 30 technical journals and conference papers, and holds three US patents. Her research interests

include low-power and wideband high-speed Nyquist A/D converters as well as digitally assisted data converter designs.

Dr. Zhu was the recipient of the Best Paper Award at ESSCIRC 2014, the Student Design Contest Award at A-SSCC 2011, and the Chipidea Microelectronics Prize and Macao Scientific and Technological R&D Awards in 2012 and 2014 for outstanding Academic and Research achievements in Microelectronics.



Sai-Weng Sin (S'98–M'06–SM'13) received the B.Sc., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and electronics engineering from University of Macau, Macao, China, in 2001, 2003, and 2008, respectively. He is currently an Associate Professor with the

Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, and is the Coordinator of Data Conversion and Signal Processing (DCSP) Research Line at the State-Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau. He has authored a book entitled *Generalized Low-Voltage Circuit Techniques* 

for Very High-Speed Time-Interleaved Analog-to-Digital Converters (Springer), hold six US and two Taiwan patents and over 80 technical journals and conference papers in the field of high performance data converters and analog mixed-signal integrated circuits.

Dr. Sin has been the member of Technical Program Committee of the 2013–2015 IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conference, the 2015 International Wireless Symposium, Track Chair in TPC of the IEEE TENCON 2015, the IEEE Sensors 2011, and the IEEE RFIT 2011–2014 Conference, Review Committee Member of PrimeAsia 2009 Conference, Technical Program and Organization Committee of the 2004 IEEJ AVLSI Workshop, as well as the Special Session Co-Chair and Technical Program Committee Member of the 2008 IEEE APCCAS Conference. He is currently the Secretary of the IEEE Solid-State Circuit Society (SSCS) Macau Chapter (with the 2012 IEEE SSCS Outstanding Chapter Award) and the IEEE Macau CAS/COM Joint Chapter (with the 2019 IEEE CAS Chapter of the Year Award). He was the corecipient of the 2011 ISSCC Silk Road Award, the Student Design Contest Award in A-SSCC 2011, and the 2011 State Science and Technology Progress Award (second class), China.



Seng-Pan (Ben) U (S'94–M'00–SM'05) received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in 1991 and 1997, respectively, and the joint Ph.D. degree (highest hons.) in high-speed analog IC design from the University of Macau (UM) and the Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Portugal, in 2002.

He has been with the Faculty of Science and Technology, UM, since 1994, and is currently a Professor and Deputy Director of the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal (AMS) VLSI, University of Macau, Macao, China. From

1999 to 2001, he was on leave to the Integrated CAS Group, Center of Microsystems in IST, as a Visiting Research Fellow. He has authored and coauthored 140+ publications, four books in Springer and China Science Press in the area of VHF SC filters, analog baseband for multistandard wireless transceivers, and very high-speed TI ADCs. He co-holds nine US patents.

Dr. U is currently the Industrial Relationship Officer of the IEEE Macau Section, Chairman of the IEEE SSCS and CAS/COMM Macau chapter. He is the IEEE SSCS Distinguished Lecturer (2014–2015). He was a A-SSCC 2013 Tutorial Speaker for Energy-Efficient SAR-Type ADCs and has also been with Technical Review Committee of various IEEE journals, e.g., JSSC, TCAS, TVLSI, etc. He was the Chairman of the LOC of IEEJ AVLSIWS'04, the TPC Co-Chair of the IEEE APCCAS'08, ICICS'09, and PRIMEAsia'11. He is currently Financial Chair of the IEEE ASP-DAC'16, TPC of ISSCC, A-SSCC, RFIT, Analog Subcommittee Chair of VLSI-DAT, and Editorial Board member of Journal *AICSP*. He was the recipient of 30 research and academic/teaching awards and corecipient of the 2014 ESSCIRC Best Paper Award. He is also the advisor for 30 various international student paper award recipients, e.g., the

awards and corecipient of the 2014 ESSCIRC Best Paper Award. He is also the advisor for 30 various international student paper award recipients, e.g., the SSCS Predoc Achievement Award, the ISSCC Student Design Contest, ISCAS, MWSCAS, PRIME, etc. He was the Macau founding Chairman and was the recipient of The 2012 IEEE SSCS Outstanding Chapter Award. He was also the recipient of the Science and Technology (S&T) Innovation Award of Ho Leung Ho Lee Foundation in 2010, the State S&T Progress Award in 2011, and the 2012 and 2014 Macau S&T Invention and Progress Awards. In recognition of his contribution in academic research and industrial development, he was awarded by Macau SAR Government the Honorary Title of Value in 2010. He was also elected as the "Scientific Chinese of the Year 2012."



**Rui Paulo Martins** (M'88–SM'99–F'08) was born in April 30, 1957. He received the Bachelor's (5 years), the Master's, and the Ph.D. degrees, as well as the *Habilitation* for Full-Professor in electrical engineering and computers from the Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Technical University (TU) of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, in 1980, 1985, 1992, and 2001, respectively.

He has been with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering (DECE)/IST, TU of Lisbon, since October 1980. Since 1992, he has been on leave

from IST, TU of Lisbon (now University of Lisbon since 2013), and is also with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology (FST), University of Macau (UM), Macao, China, where he is currently a Chair-Professor since August 2013. In FST, he was the Dean of the Faculty from 1994 to 1997 and he has been Vice-Rector of the UM since 1997. He has Coauthored 6 books and 5 book chapters; 16 patents, USA (14) and Taiwan (2); 320 papers, in scientific journals (82) and in conference proceedings (238); as well as other 61 academic works, in a total of 408 publications.

Dr. Martins was the Founding Chairman of the IEEE Macau Section (2003-2005), and the IEEE Macau Joint-Chapter on Circuits And Systems (CAS)/Communications (COM) (2005-2008) [2009 World Chapter of the Year of IEEE CASS]. He was the General Chair of the 2008 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on CAS-APCCAS'08, and was the Vice-President for the Region 10 (Asia, Australia, and the Pacific) of the IEEE CAS Society (2009-2011). Since then, he was Vice-President (World) Regional Activities and Membership of the IEEE CAS Society (2012-2013), and Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CAS II: EXPRESS BRIEFS (2010-2013). He was a member of the IEEE CASS Fellow Evaluation Committee (2013 and 2014), and the CAS Society representative in the Nominating Committee, for the election in 2014, of the Division I (CASS/EDS/SSCS)-Director of the IEEE. He is currently the General Chair of the ACM/IEEE Asia South Pacific Design Automation Conference—ASP-DAC'2016. In July 2010, he was elected, unanimously, as Corresponding Member of the Portuguese Academy of Sciences (in Lisbon), being the only Portuguese Academician living in Asia. He was the recipient of two government decorations: the Medal of Professional Merit from Macao Government (Portuguese Administration) in 1999, and the Honorary Title of Value from Macao SAR Government (Chinese Administration) in 2001